DOUBLE PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

HONGLEI LANG, YANPENG LI, AND ZHANGJU LIU

ABSTRACT. We define double principal bundles (DPBs), for which the frame bundle of a double vector bundle, double Lie groups and double homogeneous spaces are basic examples. It is shown that a double vector bundle can be realized as the associated bundle of its frame bundle. Also dual structures, gauge transformations and connections in DPBs are investigated.

arXiv:1611.00672v1 [math.DG] 2 Nov 2016

1. INTRODUCTION

Double structures arise naturally in Poisson geometry, where the symplectic double groupoid was constructed by Lu and Weinstein [9] as the double of a Poisson Lie group. As basic objects in second-order geometry, general structures of double Lie algebroids and double Lie groupoids were introduced by Mackenzie in [10, 11], where double Lie algebroids can also be used to describe the double of a Lie bialgebroid. See [1, 5, 13, 17] for more details on double Lie theory.

As a fundamental double structure, which genuinely appears in the theory of classical mechanics [14] and also in other branches of mathematics, the double vector bundle has been extensively studied, i.e. [2, 4, 6, 7, 12, 16]. The duality theory of double vector bundles was analyzed in [7] and [12]. In [16], a Courant algebroid was constructed by the symplectic realization from a cotangent double vector bundle.

Principal bundles play a fundamental role in differential geometry. To any given principal bundle one can associate a vector bundle. Meanwhile, a class of important Lie algebroids and Lie groupoids appear due to the symmetry of a principal bundle. It is therefore natural to look for a similar object as a principal bundle in second-order geometry, from which one can construct double vector bundles, double Lie algebroids and double Lie groupoids.

Given the motivation above, we present in this paper the notion of a double principal bundle, a new kind of double structure. We show that a double vector bundle can always be realized as an associated bundle of a double principal bundle. It is also not too hard to get the dual double vector bundle by the dual representation of the automorphism group of a double vector space. In our construction some double groups appear due to the symmetries of a double principal bundle, which are different from the known double Lie algebroids and double Lie groupoids in [10, 11]. The next step should be bringing these two kinds of double structures together.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give the definition of double principal bundles and basic examples such as double Lie groups and double homogeneous spaces. In section 3, we expound the structures of double Lie groups. In particular, the automorphism group of a double vector space is given, which will be used to construct the frame bundles of double vector bundles. The main result of this paper is formulated in Section 4. Namely, a double vector bundle can be realized as an associated bundle of a double principal bundle, with the fiber being a double vector space. Also the dual structures are investigated in this section. In Section 5, we study gauge transformations and connections in double principal bundles.

2. DOUBLE PRINCIPAL BUNDLES

In this section, we shall introduce the notion of double principal bundles (DPBs) and give some basic properties. Also the double homogeneous spaces will be considered, as examples of DPBs.

*Keywords:*Double principal bundle, double vector bundle, double Lie group
Research supported by NSFC 11101179 and 11471139.

Definition 2.1. *A* double principal bundle $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$ *consists of four principal bundles and two exact sequences of their structure groups:*

(1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & P_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_2} \\ P_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} & M \end{array}, \qquad 1 \longrightarrow G_0 \xrightarrow{i_1} K_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} G_2 \longrightarrow 1 \cdot$$
$$G_0 \xrightarrow{i_2} K_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} G_1 \longrightarrow 1$$

where $P_1(G_1, M)$ and $P_2(G_2, M)$ are principal bundles over M. The total space P has two principal bundle structures, denoted by $P_I = P(K_1, P_1)$, $P_{II} = P(K_2, P_2)$, over P_1, P_2 respectively, such that

- $(\pi_1, \phi_1) : P(K_2, P_2) \to P_1(G_1, M)$ *and* $(\pi_2, \phi_2) : P(K_1, P_1) \to P_2(G_2, M)$ *are homomorphisms of principal bundles;*
- $p \cdot i_1(g_0) = p \cdot i_2(g_0), \quad \forall p \in P,\ \forall g_0 \in G_0.$

It is immediate that the fiber product $(P_1 \times_M P_2; P_1, P_2; M)$ forms a DPB for any two principal bundles P_1 and P_2 over M. The following proposition shows that a DPB is always the extension of this fiber product. Let $\widehat{K}_i$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(P)$ induced by the action of $K_i (i = 1, 2)$ on P and let

$$\widehat{G}_0 := \widehat{i_1(G_0)} = \widehat{i_2(G_0)} \subset \mathrm{Diff}(P).$$

For convenience, we use pk_i and pg_0 to denote the actions of $k_i \in K_i$ and $g_0 \in G_0$ on $p \in P$.

Proposition 2.2. *Given a DPB $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$, we have that $\widehat{G}_0 = \widehat{K}_1 \cap \widehat{K}_2$ and the total space P is a principal G_0-bundle over $P_1 \times_M P_2$ with the projection (π_1, π_2):*

(2)
$$G_0 \to P \longrightarrow P_1 \times_M P_2 \begin{array}{l} \nearrow P_2 \\ \searrow P_1 \end{array} \searrow M \ .$$

The principal bundle $P_C := P(G_0, P_1 \times_M P_2)$ is called the core *of $\mathbb{P}$.*

Proof. By definition, we have $\widehat{G}_0 \subset \widehat{K}_1 \cap \widehat{K}_2$. For the other direction, suppose $\widehat{k}_1 = \widehat{k}_2 \in \widehat{K}_1 \cap \widehat{K}_2$, then following from the free action of G_1 on P_1 and

$$\pi_1(p) = \pi_1(pk_1) = \pi_1(pk_2) = \pi_1(p)\phi_1(k_2), \qquad \forall p \in P,$$

we get $\phi_1(k_2) = e$, i.e., $k_2 \in \ker \phi_1$ and thus $\widehat{k}_2 \in \widehat{G}_0$. This proves $\widehat{G}_0 = \widehat{K}_1 \cap \widehat{K}_2$.

Then we prove P_C is a principal bundle. First note that $(\pi_1, \pi_2) : P \to P_1 \times_M P_2$ is a surjective submersion with the kernel being G_0-orbits. In fact, for any $(p_1, p_2) \in P_1 \times_M P_2$, we have $(\pi_1, \pi_2)^{-1}(p_1, p_2) = F_{p_1} \cap F_{p_2}$, the intersection of the two fibers of $P(K_1, P_1)$ and $P(K_2, P_2)$, which is nonempty because (π_2, ϕ_2) is an epimorphism of principal bundles. Meanwhile, it is clear that $F_{p_1} \cap F_{p_2}$ is isomorphic to G_0. This implies that P/G_0 is diffeomorphic to $P_1 \times_M P_2$. Then to prove P_C is a principal bundle, it suffices to find a local section: Take open sets $U_i \subset P_i, i = 1, 2$ and let

$$U_1 \times_M U_2 := U_1 \times U_2 \cap P_1 \times_M P_2 \subset P_1 \times P_2,$$

which is a general open set of the submanifold $P_1 \times_M P_2$. Assume U_i admits a local section $S_i : U_i \to P$. Since $S_1(U_1)K_1 = \pi_1^{-1}(U_1)$ and $\pi_1^{-1}(U_1) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(U_2)$ is nonempty, one can choose $k_i \in K_i$ such that $U := S_1(U_1)k_1 \cap S_2(U_2)k_2$ is nonempty. By the assumption, for any given $(p_1, p_2) \in U_1 \times_M U_2$, there exits $p \in U$ satisfying $(\pi_1(p), \pi_2(p)) = (p_1, p_2)$ and the element p is unique since the local section S_i is injective. Hence the following map has to be a diffeomorphism from U to its image

$$(\pi_1, \pi_2) : U \to P_1 \times_M P_2 : p \mapsto (\pi_1(p), \pi_2(p)),$$

and the inverse is just the local section we want. □

Remark 2.3. As we saw in the commutative diagram (2), P can be viewed as a G_0 extension of the principal bundle $P_1 \times_M P_2 \to P_i$. When $P_1 = P_2 = Q$ and $G_0 = S^1$, the diagram



is similar to the one appeared in the definition of S^1-gerbes [15]. More general non-abelian gerbes were introduced in [8] as the groupoid extension. It is interesting to bring together these two kinds of extensions in some way.

Now we introduce a special kind of DPBs with structure groups:

Definition 2.4. *A quadruple of Lie groups $\mathbb{G} = (G; G_1, G_2)_{G_0}$ is said to be a* double Lie group *(DLG) with* core G_0 *if it fits into the following exact sequence:*

$$1 \to G_0 \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G_1 \times G_2 \to 1. \tag{3}$$

Set $K_1 = \varphi^{-1}(\{e\} \times G_2)$ and $K_2 = \varphi^{-1}(G_1 \times \{e\})$. The restrictions of φ give the following two epimorphisms:

$$\phi_2 := \varphi|_{K_1} : K_1 \to G_2; \quad \phi_1 := \varphi|_{K_2} : K_2 \to G_1.$$

Lemma 2.5. *The given K_1 and K_2 are closed normal subgroups of G such that $i(G_0) = K_1 \cap K_2$ and the exact sequences follow:*



Moreover, $G = K_1K_2$ if G is connected.

Proof. By the exactness of the sequence (3), we get

$$i(G_0) = \ker \varphi = \varphi^{-1}(\{e\} \times G_2) \cap \varphi^{-1}(G_1 \times \{e\}) = K_1 \cap K_2.$$

Then the exact sequences are easy to get. Next we show $G = K_1K_2$ if G is connected: First K_1K_2 is a subgroup of G since K_1 is a normal subgroup of G. To see K_1K_2 is a regular Lie subgroup, we need to prove that it is a closed submanifold. Take $g \notin K_1K_2$ and $U \subset K_2$ a small neighborhood of e. Then gU is neighborhood of g in G. It follows immediately that $gU \cap K_1K_2 = \emptyset$, which means K_1K_2 is closed. Note that the composition $\sigma : K_1K_2 \hookrightarrow G \to G_1$ is an epimorphism and $K_1 = \ker \sigma$. Then we get $\dim K_1K_2 = \dim K_1 + \dim G_1 = \dim G$.

It suffices to show that the connected component of K_1K_2 is G. Choose a neighborhood $U \subset K_1K_2$ of $e \in K_1K_2$ under the sub-topology. From the dimension argument, U must be an open subset in G and then a neighborhood of $e \in G$. Since G is connected, we have

$$G = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} U^n \subset K_1K_2 \subset G,$$

where U^n consists of all n-fold products of elements of U. So we conclude that $G = K_1K_2$. □

We always assume that the group G is either connected or $G = K_1K_2$. More details about double Lie groups will be discussed in Section 3. Let $\mathbb{G} = (G; G_1, G_2)_{G_0}$ be a DLG.

Definition 2.6. *A DPB $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$ is called a* $\mathbb{G}$-DPB *if P is a G-space such that both of the actions of K_1 and K_2 on P are induced by the action: $G \to \widehat{G} \subset \mathrm{Diff}(P)$. In this case, the DLG $\mathbb{G}$ is called the* structure group *of $\mathbb{P}$.*

As we know, a Lie group is a principal bundle over a point. Here, a DLG $\mathbb{G}$ is a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB over a point:

Lemma 2.7. *A double Lie group $\mathbb{G} = (G; G_1, G_2)$ is a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB $(G; G_1, G_2; e)$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & G_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow \\ G_1 & \longrightarrow & e \end{array},$$

where $\varphi_i : G \to G_1 \times G_2 \to G_i$ is the composition of φ and the projection.

One consequence of the free action of G on P is:

Proposition 2.8. *The total space P of a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$ is a principal G-bundle over M.*

Proof. The proof consists in the construction of a local trivialization, which is equal to find a local section. Take $m \in M$ and its neighborhood U which admits a local section $s_1 : U \to P_1$. Then take a neighborhood $U_1 \subset s_1(U)$ of $s_1(m)$ such that there exists a local section $S_1 : U_1 \to P$. Hence $S_1 \circ s_1 : s_1^{-1}(U_1) \to P$ is the local section we want. □

Example 2.9. Let $\pi_i : P_i \to M, i = 0, 1, 2$ be three principal bundles with structure groups G_i respectively. Take $P = P_1 \times_M P_2 \times_M P_0$ and $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times G_0$. Then it is easily seen that $(P; P_1, P_2; M)$ is a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB with $\mathbb{G} = (G; G_1, G_2)_{G_0}$, which is said to be *trivial*. On the other hand, let $(P; P_1, P_2; M)$ be a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB, then P can be decomposed as the fiber product of three principal bundles over M if P is a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB with $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times G_0$.

As we know, homogeneous spaces of a Lie group provide basic examples for principal bundles. We now construct the *homogeneous space* for a double Lie group, which gives a class of DPBs.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\mathbb{G} = (G; G_1, G_2)_{G_0}$ be a double Lie group, $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup, and*

$$H_1 := H/H \cap K_1, \quad H_2 := H/H \cap K_2, \quad H_0 := H \cap G_0.$$

Then $\mathbb{H} := (H; H_1, H_2)_{H_0}$ is a double Lie group and $\mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H}$, defined below, is an $\mathbb{H}$-DPB:

$$\mathbb{H} = \begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & H_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow \\ H_1 & \longrightarrow & e \end{array}, \quad \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{H} := \begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & G/H \cap K_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G/H \cap K_1 & \longrightarrow & G/H \end{array},$$

where φ_1 and φ_2 are the natural projections.

Proof. Since $H \cap K_i \lhd H$, we deduce the following group epimorphism:

$$\varphi : H \to H_1 \times H_2 : h \mapsto (h(H \cap K_1), h(H \cap K_2)),$$

which shows $\mathbb{H}$ is a DLG. According to Proposition 1.59 in [3], the manifold $G/H \cap K_1$ is a principal H_1-bundle over G/H. All the other claims are easy to check. □

3. More on Double Lie Groups

In this section, we concentrate on the double Lie groups and show that they can be used to illustrate the automorphisms of DVSs. First let us review the double Lie groups we defined in Section 2: A double Lie group consists of four Lie groups $\mathbb{G} = (G; G_1, G_2)_{G_0}$ satisfying the following exact sequence:

$$1 \to G_0 \to G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G_1 \times G_2 \to 1. \tag{4}$$

Example 3.1. Let G_1, G_2 and G_0 be Lie groups and let $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times G_0$. Then $(G; G_1, G_2)_{G_0}$ is a double Lie group, which will be said *trivial*. Moreover, if there is a group homomorphism $\phi : G_1 \times G_2 \to \mathrm{Aut}(G_0)$, we conclude that $(G'; G_1, G_2)_{G_0}$ is a double Lie group, where $G' = (G_1 \times G_2) \ltimes_\phi G_0$ is the semi-direct product of $G_1 \times G_2$ and G_0 with respect to ϕ.

In fact, by the exact sequence (4), we see G is the extension of $G_1 \times G_2$ by G_0. So the two examples above are nothing but two special extensions. Before the discussion of the automorphism group of a double vector space, we first recall the definition of double vector bundles:

Definition 3.2. [10] *A* double vector bundle $\mathbb{E} = (E; E_1, E_2; M)$ *is a system of vector bundles*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} & E_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_2} \\ E_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & M \end{array}, \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} & V_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_1} & & \downarrow \\ V_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array},$$

in which E has two vector bundle structures, on bases E_1 and E_2, which are themselves vector bundles on M, such that each of the structure maps of each vector bundle structure on E (the bundle projection, addition, scalar multiplication and the zero section) is a morphism of vector bundles with respect to the other structure. A double vector bundle $\mathbb{V} = (V; V_1, V_2)$ over a point will be called a double vector space *(DVS). A morphism of double vector bundles*

$$(a; a_1, a_2; a_M) : (E; E_1, E_2; M) \to (E'; E_1', E_2'; M')$$

consists of maps $a : E \to E'$, $a_1 : E_1 \to E_1'$, $a_2 : E_2 \to E_2'$ and $a_M : M \to M'$ such that each of (a, a_1), (a, a_2), (a_1, a_M) and (a_2, a_M) is a morphism of the relevant vector bundles.

To simplify notations, E_I and E_{II} are used for the vector bundles $E \to E_1$ and $E \to E_2$ respectively. Correspondingly, use 0_I and 0_{II} to denote their zero sections. The zero section of E_i is denoted by 0_i. And $\sigma : E \to M$ is the following composition $\delta_1 \circ \sigma_1 = \delta_2 \circ \sigma_2$. In fact, there is a third vector bundle E_0 on M besides E_1 and E_2, known as the *core*, which is an embedded submanifold of E:

$$E_0 = \{u \in E \,|\, \exists m \in M \text{ such that } \sigma_1(u) = 0_1(m), \sigma_2(u) = 0_2(m)\}.$$

For a DVS $\mathbb{V} = (V; V_1, V_2)_{V_0}$, let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})$ be the automorphism group of $\mathbb{V}$, which is consist of invertible morphisms of $\mathbb{V}$ and turns out to be a DLG. Denote

$$\mathrm{Aut}(V) := \{a \,|\, (a; a_1, a_2) \text{ is an automorphism of } \mathbb{V}\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathbb{V} = (V; V_1, V_2)_{V_0}$ be a DVS. Then one has*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(V) = \mathrm{Aut}(V_I) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(V_{II}) \cong (\mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2)) \ltimes (\mathrm{GL}(V_0) \ltimes T),$$

where $T = \mathrm{Hom}(V_1 \otimes V_2, V_0)$. Consequently, one gets the double Lie group:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V}): \quad 1 \to \mathrm{GL}(V_0) \ltimes T \to \mathrm{Aut}(V) \to \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2) \to 1. \tag{5}$$

Proof. First let us prove this theorem for the trivial double vector bundle $\mathbb{V}$. We will write down the group $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ explicitly in this case. Let $(a; a_1, a_2)$ be an automorphism of $\mathbb{V}$. Then a_1 and a_2 are linear isomorphisms since V_1 and V_2 are vector spaces. Unravel a as

$$\begin{aligned} a = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_0) : V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0 &\to V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0 \\ (v_1, v_2, v_0) &\mapsto (\psi_1(v_1, v_2, v_0), \psi_2(v_1, v_2, v_0), \psi_0(v_1, v_2, v_0)). \end{aligned}$$

An analysis of ψ_i will show the structure of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$. Since (a, a_1) is a vector bundle isomorphism, we know $a_1 \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_1 \circ a$ and $a \circ 0_I = 0_I \circ a_1$, which imply $\psi_1(v_1, v_2, v_0) = a_1(v_1)$ and $\psi_0(v_1, 0, 0) = 0$. Similarly, we have $\psi_2(v_1, v_2, v_0) = a_2(v_2)$ and $\psi_0(0, v_2, 0) = 0$. To prove that ψ_0 can be decomposed into the sum of a linear map and a bilinear map, let

$$a_0(v_0) := \psi_0(0, 0, v_0), \qquad \mu(v_1, v_2) := \psi_0(v_1, v_2, 0).$$

Direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0(v_1, v_2, v_0) &= \psi_0(v_1, 0, v_0) +_1 \psi_0(v_1, v_2, 0) = (\psi_0(v_1, 0, 0) +_2 \psi_0(0, 0, v_0)) +_1 \psi_0(v_1, v_2, 0) \\ &= a_0(v_0) + \mu(v_1, v_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus a can be written as (a_1, a_2, a_0, μ), where $\mu \in T$, such that

$$(a_1, a_2, a_0, \mu)(v_1, v_2, v_0) = (a_1(v_1),\ a_2(v_2),\ a_0(v_0) + \mu(v_1, v_2)).$$

It is clear that the composition of a and b is the product as follows:

$$(a_1, a_2, a_0, \mu) \cdot (b_1, b_2, b_0, \nu) = (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, a_0 b_0, \mu \circ (b_1 \times b_2) + a_0 \circ \nu). \tag{6}$$

On the other hand, any quadruple (a_1, a_2, a_0, μ) induces an automorphism of $\mathbb{V}$. Thus we proved that $\mathrm{Aut}(V) = (\mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2)) \ltimes (\mathrm{GL}(V_0) \ltimes T)$. By definition, we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}(V) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(V_I) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(V_{II}).$$

On the other hand, for $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(V_I) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(V_{II})$, the induced map of f on V_2 is linear since f is linear on the fiber $(v_1, V_2 \oplus V_0)$. Thus we get the inverse inclusion $\mathrm{Aut}(V) \supset \mathrm{Aut}(V_I) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(V_{II})$. To show the exact sequence (5), we define the group homomorphism:

$$\varphi : \mathrm{Aut}(V) \to \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_2) : a \mapsto (\sigma_1 \circ a \circ 0_I, \sigma_2 \circ a \circ 0_{II}),$$

where $0_I : V_1 \to V$ and $0_{II} : V_2 \to V$ are the zero sections. This ends the proof of this theorem for the trivial DVS.

For a general double vector space $\mathbb{V} = (V; V_1, V_2)_{V_0}$, there is a diffeomorphism $(\Psi; \Psi_1, \Psi_2)$ from the trivial double vector space $V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0$ to $\mathbb{V}$ (see e.g. Proposition 2.11 in [5]). Hence Ψ induces an isomorphism of double Lie groups $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V}) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0)$ by

$$a \mapsto \Psi^{-1} \circ a \circ \Psi, \quad a_i \mapsto \Psi_i^{-1} \circ a_i \circ \Psi_i,$$

where $(a; a_1, a_2)$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{V}$. It is direct to show the other results for $\mathbb{V}$. □

Just like representations of Lie groups, we have:

Definition 3.4. *A* morphism *of DLGs* $(\rho; \rho_1, \rho_2)_{\rho_0} : (G; G_1, G_2)_{G_0} \to (G'; G_1', G_2')_{G_0'}$ *consists of a system of Lie group homomorphisms:* $\rho : G \to G'$ *and* $\rho_i : G_i \to G_i'$ *for* $i = 0, 1, 2$*:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & G_0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_1 \times G_2 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_1 \times \rho_2} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G_0' & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & G_1' \times G_2' & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

such that the relevant diagrams commute. A representation *of* $\mathbb{G}$ *consists of a double vector space* $\mathbb{V} = (V; V_1, V_2)_{V_0}$ *and a double Lie group morphism* $(\rho; \rho_1, \rho_2)_{\rho_0}$ *from* $\mathbb{G}$ *to* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})$.

For a vector space with a Lie group representation, its dual space is equipped with the dual representation. So it is natural to consider the dual representation of a DLG on a DVS. Let $\mathbb{V} = (V; V_1, V_2)_{V_0}$ be a DVS. Then its dual with respect to V_1 ([7, 12]) is $\mathbb{V}_I^* = (V_I^*, V_1, V_0^*)_{V_2^*}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \longrightarrow & V_2 \\ \downarrow & V_0 \searrow & \downarrow \\ V_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_I^* & \longrightarrow & V_0^* \\ \downarrow & V_2^* \searrow & \downarrow \\ V_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array},$$

where $V_I^* \to V_1$ is the dual vector bundle of V_I. The duality interchanges the positions of V_2 and V_0. We get two double Lie groups $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V}_I^*)$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Aut}(V) & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & \mathrm{GL}(V_2) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{GL}(V_1) & \longrightarrow & e \end{array}, \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}(V_0^*) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{GL}(V_1) & \longrightarrow & e \end{array}. \tag{7}$$

And the the corresponding exact sequence for the DLG $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V}_I^*)$ is

$$1 \to G_0^* \to \mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*) \to \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_0^*) \to 1, \tag{8}$$

where the core

$$G_0^* = \mathrm{GL}(V_2^*) \ltimes T_I^*, \quad T_I^* = \mathrm{Hom}(V_1 \otimes V_2^*, V_0^*).$$

Proposition 3.5. *There is a canonical anti-isomorphism between the Lie groups* $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ *and* $\mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*)$.

Proof. Fix a decomposition of DVS $\mathbb{V}$: $\Psi : V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0 \to \mathbb{V}$. Then there is a natural decomposition $\Psi_I^* : V_1 \oplus V_0^* \oplus V_2^* \to \mathbb{V}_I^*$ (See [6]). Define the following map:

$$f : \mathrm{Aut}(V) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*) : \Psi \circ (a_1, a_2, a_0, \mu) \circ \Psi^{-1} \mapsto \Psi_I \circ (a_1^{-1}, a_0^*, a_2^*, \mu_I^* \circ a_1^{-1}) \circ \Psi_I^{*-1},$$

where a_i^* is the transposition of a_i and $\mu_I^* : V_1 \times V_0^* \to V_2^*$ is the dual of μ with respect to V_1

$$\langle \mu_I^*(v_1, \eta_0), v_2 \rangle := \langle \eta_0, \mu(v_1, v_2) \rangle.$$

For convenience, the decomposition Ψ will be omitted in the following.

We check that f is an (anti-)isomorphism between $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*)$. Obviously, the map f is a bijection. It suffices to prove f is an (anti-)morphism, namely,

$$f\big((a_1, a_2, a_0, \mu)(b_1, b_2, b_0, \nu)\big) = f(b_1, b_2, b_0, \nu) f(a_1, a_2, a_0, \mu). \tag{9}$$

By the group multiplication (6), the left hand side of (9) equals to

$$\big((a_1 b_1)^{-1}, (a_0 b_0)^*, (a_2 b_2)^*, (a_0 \circ \nu + \mu \circ (b_1 \times b_2))_I^* \circ (a_1 b_1)^{-1}\big),$$

and the right hand side is

$$\big(b_1^{-1} a_1^{-1}, b_0^* a_0^*, b_2^* a_2^*, b_2^* \circ (\mu_I^* \circ a_1^{-1}) + \nu_I^* \circ b_1^{-1}(a_1^{-1} \times a_0^*)\big).$$

It is obvious that the first three terms are the same. For the last term, acting on an element $(v_1, \eta_0) \in V_1 \times V_0^*$ and taking pairing with $v_2 \in V_2$, we find both of them equal to

$$\langle \eta_0, a_0 \nu((a_1 b_1)^{-1} v_1, v_2) + \mu(a_1^{-1} v_1, b_2 v_2) \rangle.$$

This proves that f is an (anti-)isomorphism. □

Thus, an $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$-space is also an $\mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*)$-space. So we have:

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose $\mathbb{V} = (V; V_1, V_2)$ is a DVS and $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$ is an $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})$-DPB. Then we have the following $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V}_I^*)$-DPB $\mathbb{P}_I^* := (P; P_1, Q_2; M)$:*

$$\mathbb{P}_I^* := \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & Q_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_1 & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}, \quad Q_2 := P/\mathrm{GL}(V_1) \ltimes G_0^*.$$

Proof. By the exact sequence (8), let $K_1' := \mathrm{GL}(V_0^*) \ltimes G_0^*$ and $K_2' := \mathrm{GL}(V_1) \ltimes G_0^*$. Note that the isomorphism f also induces an isomorphism between K_1 and K_1'. Thus, the $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$-space P is also an $\mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*)$-space with the action $pg^* := pf^{-1}(g^*)$ and the quotient P/K_1' is diffeomorphic to P_1. By Proposition 2.8, P is a principal $\mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*)$-bundle over M. Suppose $U \times \mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*)$ is a local trivialization of the bundle $P \to M$, where U is an open subset in M. Then Q_2 becomes a smooth manifold by taking the local chart $U \times \mathrm{GL}(V_0^*)$. Thus it is clear now that $P \to Q_2$ and $Q_2 \to M$ are principal bundles. The rest is easy to check. □

As the isomorphism f in Proposition 3.5 does not induce an isomorphism between $\mathrm{GL}(V_2)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(V_0^*)$, the two DLGs $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V}_I^*)$ are not isomorphic. So there is not a natural dual representation of a DLG $\mathbb{G}$ on the dual DVS $\mathbb{V}_I^*$.

The local structure of a double Lie group $\mathbb{G}$ is characterized by its Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G})$:

$$0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \to 0. \tag{10}$$

We call a triple of Lie algebras $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = (\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ satisfying exact sequence (10) a *double Lie algebra* with core $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Note that there is not a natural DVS structure for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\tau_2} & \mathfrak{g}_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_1} & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g}_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array},$$

since $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is a quotient space instead of a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ by definition.

From (5), it is not hard to see that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}(\mathbb{V})$ of the DLG $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{aut}(\mathbb{V}): \quad 0 \to \mathfrak{gl}(V_0) \ltimes T \to \mathfrak{aut}(V) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_2) \to 0. \tag{11}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{aut}(V) = \{(A_1, A_2, A_0, \alpha) \,|\, A_i \in \mathfrak{gl}(V_i), \alpha \in T = \mathrm{Hom}(V_1 \otimes V_2, V_0)\}.$$

Actually, we have another characterization of $\mathfrak{aut}(V)$.

Proposition 3.7. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{aut}(V)$ is the semi-direct product of $\mathfrak{gl}(V_1) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_2) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(V_0)$ and T with the action given by*

$$(A_1, A_2, A_0) \triangleright \nu = A_0 \circ \nu - \nu \circ (A_1 \times I) - \nu \circ (I \times A_2).$$

Proof. The Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{aut}(V)$ now is

$$[(A_1, A_2, A_0, \mu), (B_1, B_2, B_0, \nu)] = \big([A_1, B_1], [A_2, B_2], [A_0, B_0], \Upsilon\big),$$

where

$$\Upsilon = A_0 \circ \nu - \nu \circ (A_1 \times I) - \nu \circ (I \times A_2) - B_0 \circ \mu + \mu \circ (B_1 \times I) + \mu \circ (I \times B_2).$$

We check the bracket of $(A_1, A_2, A_0, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 0, \nu)$. Note that the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{aut}(V) \to \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ is

$$\exp t(A_1, A_2, A_0, 0) = (e^{tA_1}, e^{tA_2}, e^{tA_0}, 0), \qquad \exp t(0, 0, 0, \nu) = (I, I, I, t\nu).$$

Thus, by the relation of the Lie bracket and the group structure, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(A_1, A_2, A_0, 0), (0, 0, 0, \nu)] \\
=&\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{t,s=0} (e^{tA_1}, e^{tA_2}, e^{tA_0}, 0)(I, I, I, s\nu)(e^{-tA_1}, e^{-tA_2}, e^{-tA_0}, 0)(I, I, I, -s\nu) \\
=&\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{t,s=0} \Big(I, I, I, -s\nu + (e^{tA_0} \circ s\nu)(e^{-tA_1} \times e^{-tA_2})\Big) \\
=&\big(0, 0, 0, A_0 \circ \nu - \nu \circ (A_1 \times I) - \nu \circ (I \times A_2)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. □

In general, a double Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ is a non-abelian extension of $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ by $\mathfrak{g}_0$. In the rest of this section, we discuss a simple case that the core $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is an abelian Lie algebra.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a $(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$-module with a representation $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2 : \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$. Obviously, $\rho_1 : \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ and $\rho_2 : \mathfrak{g}_2 \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ are representations of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ respectively and satisfy $[\rho_1(\cdot), \rho_2(\cdot)] = 0$. Every 2-cochain $\omega \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{m})$ can be split into three parts:

$$\omega = \omega_{2,0} + \omega_{1,1} + \omega_{0,2} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_1 \wedge \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{m}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{m}).$$

Then, we have:

Lemma 3.8. *The 2-cochain ω is a 2-cocycle with respect to the $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$-module if and only if $\omega_{2,0}$ and $\omega_{0,2}$ are 2-cocycles with respect to the $\mathfrak{g}_1$-module and $\mathfrak{g}_2$-module respectively and the following compatibility conditions:*

$$d_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\iota_a\omega_{1,1}) = \rho_2(a) \circ \omega_{2,0}, \qquad d_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(\iota_x\omega_{1,1}) = \rho_1(x) \circ \omega_{0,2}, \qquad \forall a \in \mathfrak{g}_2, x \in \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

where ι denotes the contraction.

Example 3.9. In particular, suppose that $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$ with $\mathfrak{m}_1$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2$ being $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$-modules respectively. So $\mathfrak{m}$ is naturally a $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Let $\omega_{2,0} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{m}_1)$ and $\omega_{0,2} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{m}_2)$ be two 2-cocycles and let $\theta_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{m}_1)$ and $\theta_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{m}_2)$ be two 1-cocycles relative to the corresponding $\mathfrak{g}_i$-module structure on $\mathfrak{m}_i$. Then the 2-cochian

$$\omega = \omega_{2,0} + \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + \omega_{0,2} \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{m})$$

is a 2-cocycle with respect to the $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$-module structure on $\mathfrak{m}$.

Proposition 3.10. *Given a 2-cocycle $\omega \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{m})$, define a bracket on the direct sum space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}&[x+a+u, y+b+v]_{\mathfrak{g}}\\ =&[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + [a,b]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + \omega(x+a, y+b)\\ =&[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} + [a,b]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} + \omega_{2,0}(x,y) + \omega_{1,1}(x,b) - \omega_{1,1}(y,a) + \omega_{0,2}(a,b)\end{aligned}$$

Then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)$ forms a double Lie algebra with abelian core $\mathfrak{m}$.

It is seen that $\omega_{2,0}$ and $\omega_{0,2}$ give the abelian extensions of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ respectively. $\omega_{1,1}$ gives a nontrivial bracket between $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$.

4. Associated Double Vector Bundles

In this section, we present our main result of this paper: A double vector bundle can be realized as an associated bundle of a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB, with the fiber being a double vector space. This result is formulated by two theorems. First, we prove the associated bundle of a DPB is a DVB. Then we define the frame bundle of a DVB, proved to be a DPB, whose associated bundle with respect to the trivial DVS is naturally isomorphic to the previous DVB. Another interesting observation is that the dual double vector bundle can be obtained easily just by the dual representation of the automorphism group of a double vector space.

Usually for a principal bundle $P(G, M)$ and a G-manifold F, the associated bundle of P with fiber type F is defined by

$$P \times_G F = \{[p, v] \,|\, (p, v) \sim (pg, g^{-1}v), \forall (p, v) \in P \times F, g \in G\}.$$

In the case that F is a vector space and the G-action is linear, the bundle $P \times_G F$ is a vector bundle on M. It is known that any vector bundle can be realized as an associated bundle of a principal bundle, e.g., its frame bundle.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB and $\mathbb{V}$ a $\mathbb{G}$-module given by*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & P_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_2} \\ P_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} & M \end{array}, \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & G_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow \\ G_1 & \longrightarrow & e \end{array}, \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} & V_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_1} & & \downarrow \\ V_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}.$$

Then one can get an associated DVB $\mathbb{P} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{V}$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P \times_G V & & \xrightarrow{\Pi_2} & & P_2 \times_{G_2} V_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Pi_1} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Lambda_2} \\ P_1 \times_{G_1} V_1 & & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_1} & & M \\ & & & \xleftarrow[\Lambda_0]{} & P \times_G V_0 \end{array},$$

where $\pi := \lambda_1 \circ \pi_1 = \lambda_2 \circ \pi_2$,

$$\Pi_i([p, v]) = [\pi_i p, \sigma_i v]; \quad \Lambda_i([p_i, v_i]) = \lambda_i(p_i); \quad \Lambda_0([p, v_0]) = \pi(p), \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Use $+_i$ and $\cdot_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ to denote the two different vector bundle structures on V and $(\rho; \rho_1, \rho_2)$ to denote the representation of $\mathbb{G}$ on $\mathbb{V}$. We will make the assumption that G is either connected or $G = K_1K_2$.

Lemma 4.2. *The projections Π_i $(i = 1, 2)$ are well-defined.*

Proof. We shall show that the definition of Π_1 only depends on the equivalent class. In other words, we have to prove $[\pi_1(p), \sigma_1(v)] = [\pi_1(pg), \sigma_1(g^{-1}v)]$ for $[p, v] = [pg, g^{-1}v]$. By definition, π_1 intertwines the actions of G and G_1: Since $G = K_1K_2$ as we assumed, for $g \in G$, there exist $k_1 \in K_1$ and $k_2 \in K_2$ such that $g = k_1k_2$, then

$$\pi_1(pg) = \pi_1(pk_1k_2) = \pi_1(pk_1)\varphi_1(k_2) = \pi_1(p)\varphi_1(g),$$

As $g^{-1}v = \rho(g^{-1})v$ and by definition of a representation for a DVB, we have

$$\sigma_1(\rho(g^{-1})v) = \psi_1(\rho(g^{-1}))\sigma_1(v), \quad \psi_1(\rho(g^{-1})) = \rho_1(\varphi_1(g^{-1})),$$

where the group morphism ψ_1 is defined in the diagram (7). Thus $\sigma_1(g^{-1}v) = \varphi_1(g^{-1})\sigma_1(v)$, which means π_1 is well-defined. □

Lemma 4.3. *$P \times_G V \xrightarrow{\Pi_1} P_1 \times_{G_1} V_1$ is a vector bundle.*

Proof. We split the proof into three steps:

Step 1: Define a linear structure on the fiber of $[p_1, v_1]$. If $\Pi_1([p, v]) = \Pi_1([q, u])$, then there $\exists! g \in G$ such that $q = pg$ because of the principal bundle structure of $P \to M$. Then we define the addition as:

$$[p, v] +_1 [q, u] := [p, v +_1 gu]. \tag{12}$$

The number multiplication is obvious: For $r \in \mathrm{R}$, define $r \cdot_1 [p, v] := [p, r \cdot_1 v]$.

Then by definition, $[p, v] +_1 [q, u] = [p, v +_1 gu] = [q, g^{-1}v +_1 u] = [q, u] +_1 [p, v]$, which shows that $+_1$ is symmetric. Meanwhile, if $\Pi_1([p, v]) = \Pi_1([q, u]) = \Pi_1([p', v'])$ and $p' = qh$, then we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}([p, v] +_1 [q, u]) +_1 [p', v'] &= [q, g^{-1}v +_1 u] +_1 [p', v'] = [q, g^{-1}v +_1 u +_1 hv'] \\ &= [p, v] +_1 [q, u +_1 hv'] = [p, v] +_1 ([q, u] +_1 [p', v']),\end{aligned}$$

which means $+_1$ is associative. This gives a linear structure on the fiber of $[p_1, v_1]$.

Step 2: Prove the existence of the zero section. Let 0_I denote the zero section of $V \to V_1$. Define the zero section:

$$\widehat{0}_I : P_1 \times_{G_1} V_1 \to P \times_G V : [p_1, v_1] \mapsto [p, 0_I(v_1)]$$

where $p \in P$ such that $\pi_1(p) = p_1$. First, it is clear that $[p, 0_I(v_1)]$ is independent of the choice of p. Then we need to show $\widehat{0}_I$ is well-defined: For $[p_1, v_1] = [p_1 g_1, g_1^{-1} v_1]$, choose $g \in G$ such that $\varphi_1(g) = g_1$ and $p \in P$ such that $\pi_1(p) = p_1$. Then we have $\pi_1(pg) = p_1 g_1$ and $g^{-1}0_I(v_1) = 0_I(g_1^{-1}v_1)$. Hence, $\widehat{0}_I([p_1 g_1, g_1^{-1}v_1]) = [pg, 0_I(g_1^{-1}v_1)] = \widehat{0}_I([p_1, v_1])$. At last, we deduce $[p, v] +_1 [q, u] = [q, u]$ if and only if $[p, v] \in \operatorname{Im} \widehat{0}_I$, which gives the uniqueness of the zero point on each fiber.

Step 3: Show the existence of a local trivialization. Since P_1 and P are principal bundles over M, there exists a neighborhood U of $m \in M$ such that:

$$\alpha_1 : \lambda_1^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times G_1, \quad \alpha : \pi^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times G.$$

Use β_1 to denote the composition of α_1 and the projection from $U \times G_1$ to G_1. The map β denote the composition of α and the projection to G. Thus the following two maps are well-defined and 1-1:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1 : P_1 \times_{G_1} V_1|_U &\xrightarrow{\sim} U \times V_1 : [p_1, v_1] \mapsto (\lambda_1(p_1), \beta_1(p_1)v_1), \\ \gamma : P \times_G V|_U &\xrightarrow{\sim} U \times V : [p, v] \mapsto (\pi(p), \beta(p)v).\end{aligned}$$

Take the open subset $W := \gamma_1^{-1}(U \times V_1)$. Coupled with a trivialization of the vector bundle V_I that $(\sigma_1, \tau) : V|_{V_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_1 \times \mathrm{R}^{n_1}$, we get a local trivialization of $P \times_G V \xrightarrow{\Pi_1} P_1 \times_{G_1} V_1$ given by

$$P \times_G V|_W \xrightarrow{\sim} W \times \mathrm{R}^{n_1}, [p, v] \to \Big(\gamma_1^{-1}\Big(\pi(p), \sigma_1(\beta(p)v)\Big), \tau(\beta(p)v)\Big).$$

Consequently, $P \times_G V$ is a vector bundle over $P_1 \times_{G_1} V_1$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By Proposition 2.1 in [5], the only point remaining concerns the interchange law. Without loss of generality, take four points in $P \times_G V$:

$$[p, v], \quad [pk_1, u], \quad [pk_2, v'], \quad [pk_2 k_1', u'],$$

for $k_1, k_1' \in K_1$ and $k_2 \in K_2$ such that $(v, u), (v', u') \in V \times_{V_1} V$ and $(v, v'), (k_1 u, k_1' u') \in V \times_{V_2} V$. Using the interchange law in the DVS $\mathbb{V}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&([p, v] +_1 [pk_1, u]) +_2 ([pk_2, v'] +_1 [pk_2 k_1', u']) = [p, (v +_1 k_1 u) +_2 (k_2 v' +_1 k_2 k_1' u')] \\ =&[p, (v +_2 k_2 v') +_1 (k_1 u +_2 k_2 k_1' u')] = ([p, v] +_2 [pk_2, v']) +_1 ([pk_1, u] +_2 [pk_2 k_1', u']).\end{aligned}$$

Then the interchange law holds. It is direct to see the core of the DVB $\mathbb{P} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{V}$ is $P \times_G V_0$. □

From the above theorem, we get a DVB with core the vector bundle $P \times_G V_0$ on M. Recall that the core $P_C := P \to P_1 \times_M P_2$ of a DPB $\mathbb{P}$ is a principal G_0-bundle. As G_0 acts on V_0, we get its associated vector bundle $P \times_{G_0} V_0$ on $P_1 \times_M P_2$. Using the natural map $\lambda_0 = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) : P_1 \times_M P_2 \to M$, we establish the relation between these two vector bundles.

Proposition 4.4. *With notations above, we have $P \times_{G_0} V_0 \cong \lambda_0^*(P \times_G V_0)$. That is, the pull-back of the core of the associated DVB is isomorphic to the associated bundle of the core of the DPB:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P \times_{G_0} V_0 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \lambda_0^*(P \times_G V_0) & \longrightarrow & P \times_G V_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_1 \times_M P_2 & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & P_1 \times_M P_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_0} & M \end{array} .$$

Proof. Here we will use $[p]$ and $\lfloor p, v \rfloor$ to denote the element in $P_1 \times_M P_2 = P/G_0$ and $P \times_{G_0} V_0$, where $p \in P$ and $v \in V_0$. By definition,

$$\lambda_0^*(P \times_G V_0) = P/G_0 \times_M (P \times_G V_0) = \{([p], [q, v]) | \lambda_0([p]) = \pi(q)\}.$$

Since $\pi(p) = \lambda_0([p]) = \pi(q)$, there exists a unique $g \in G$ such that $q = pg$, which means we can rewrite every element in $\pi_0^*(P \times_G V_0)$ as $([p], [p, gv])$. Then define

$$\Phi : \lambda_0^*(P \times_G V_0) \to P \times_{G_0} V_0 : ([p], [q, v]) \mapsto \lfloor p, gv \rfloor.$$

First it is well-defined: $\Phi([ph], [ph, h^{-1}gv_0]) = \lfloor ph, h^{-1}gv_0 \rfloor = \lfloor p, gv_0 \rfloor = \Phi([p], [p, gv_0])$, where $h \in G_0$. Secondly we check it is a bundle map and show that $\Phi_{[p]}$ is linear. For $([p], [q, v])$ and $([p], [q', v']) \in \lambda_0^*(P \times_G V_0)$, there exist $g, g' \in G$ such that $q = pg$ and $q' = pg'$. Thus by definition,

$$\Phi_{[p]}(([p], [q, v]) + ([p], [q', v'])) = \lfloor p, gv + g'v' \rfloor,$$
$$\Phi_{[p]}([p], [q, v]) + \Phi_{[p]}([p], [q', v']) = \lfloor p, gv \rfloor + \lfloor p, g'v' \rfloor = \lfloor p, gv + g'v' \rfloor.$$

Then it is clear that $\Phi_{[p]}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces, which ends the proof. □

To show that a double vector bundle can be always realized as an associated bundle of a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB, we now define the frame bundle of a DVB $\mathbb{E} = (E; E_1, E_2; M)_{E_0}$. Suppose the fiber dimension of the vector bundle E_i is n_i and take $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_0$. Let $[\boldsymbol{n}]$ be the triple $(n; n_1, n_2)$, which is called the *fiber dimension* of $\mathbb{E}$. Let $\mathbb{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]}$ be the trivial DVS of fiber dimension $[\boldsymbol{n}]$:

$$\mathbb{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]} := (\mathrm{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathrm{R}^{n_2} \oplus \mathrm{R}^{n_0}; \mathrm{R}^{n_1}, \mathrm{R}^{n_2})_{\mathrm{R}^{n_0}}.$$

We first consider the frame bundle of a DVS $\mathbb{V} = (V; V_1, V_2)_{V_0}$. By [6], given an injective morphism of DVSs $\psi : V_1 \oplus V_2 \to V$ over the identity on V_i, which is called a *linear splitting* of $\mathbb{V}$, a corresponding decomposition of $\mathbb{V}$ is given by:

$$\Psi : V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} V : (v_1, v_2, v_0) \mapsto \psi(v_1, v_2) +_2 (0_{II}(v_2) +_1 v_0). \tag{13}$$

Given a bilinear form $\mu \in T = \mathrm{Hom}(V_1 \otimes V_2, V_0)$, we get another linear splitting of $\mathbb{V}$:

$$\psi'(v_1, v_2) := (\mu(v_1, v_2) +_1 0_{II}(v_2)) +_2 \psi(v_1, v_2). \tag{14}$$

Besides, any two splittings differ by a bilinear form $\mu \in T$, which means the space of all decompositions, denoted by $D(\mathbb{V})$, is an affine space by translation of the vector space T. The transformations between different decompositions constitute the group $\mathrm{Aut}(V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0)$ in the following sense:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0 & \\ {\scriptstyle \Psi'} \swarrow & & \\ V & \Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle a} & \\ {\scriptstyle \Psi} \nwarrow & & \\ & V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0 & \end{array} \qquad \text{where } a := \Psi^{-1} \circ \Psi' \in \mathrm{Aut}(V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0).$$

By Equation (13), direct computation gives

$$a = \Psi^{-1} \circ \Psi' = (\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}, \mu) \in \mathrm{Aut}(V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0). \tag{15}$$

On the other hand, given bases $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ of V_1, V_2 and V_0, any point $v \in V$ is uniquely decided by a triple $\xi := (x, y, z) \in \mathrm{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathrm{R}^{n_2} \oplus \mathrm{R}^{n_0}$

$$v = \Psi(\mathbf{u}x, \mathbf{v}y, \mathbf{w}z),$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}x := \sum u_i x^i \in V_1, \quad \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \cdots, u_{n_1}) \text{ and } x = (x^1, \cdots, x^{n_1}).$$

Thus we introduce the following definition:

Definition 4.5. *For a double vector space $\mathbb{V} = (V; V_1, V_2)_{V_0}$, a* frame Ω *of V is a quadruple*

$$\Omega := (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}; \Psi) \in \Pi^{n_1} V_1 \times \Pi^{n_2} V_2 \times \Pi^{n_0} V_0 \times D(\mathbb{V})$$

where $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ are frames of V_1, V_2, V_0 respectively and Ψ is a decomposition. Denote by $\mathcal{F}(V)$ the set of frames of V.

For the DVB $\mathbb{E}$, fix $m \in M$, then $\mathbb{E}_m := (E_m; E_{1,m}, E_{2,m})_{E_{0,m}}$ is a DVS. Let $\mathcal{F}(E) = \bigsqcup_m \mathcal{F}(E_m)$, which can be viewed as a submanifold of the following fiber product if we fix a decomposition of $\mathbb{E}$

$$\Pi^{n_1} E_1 \times_M \Pi^{n_2} E_2 \times_M \Pi^{n_0} E_0 \times_M \mathrm{Hom}(E_1 \otimes E_2, E_0).$$

Given a frame $\Omega = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}; \Psi) \in \mathcal{F}(E_m)$ at m and a triple $\xi = (x, y, z) \in \mathrm{R}^{n_1} \oplus \mathrm{R}^{n_2} \oplus \mathrm{R}^{n_0}$, let

$$\Omega\xi := \Psi(\mathbf{u}x, \mathbf{v}y, \mathbf{w}z) \in E_m. \tag{16}$$

The next lemma is easy to be checked by the analysis above.

Lemma 4.6. *The following natural action of the group of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]})$ on $\mathcal{F}(E)$ defined by:*

$$\Omega a := (\mathbf{u}a_1, \mathbf{v}a_2, \mathbf{w}a_0; \Psi_\mu), \quad a = (a_1, a_2, a_0, \widetilde{\mu}) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]})$$

is free and transitive, where $\mu \in \mathrm{Hom}(E_{1,m} \otimes E_{2,m}, E_{0,m})$ is given by

$$\mu(\mathbf{u}x, \mathbf{v}y) := \mathbf{w}\widetilde{\mu}(a_1^{-1}x, a_2^{-1}y) \in E_{0,m}. \tag{17}$$

and

$$(\Psi_\mu)(v_1, v_2, v_0) := (\mu(v_1, v_2) +_1 v_0 +_1 0_{II}(v_2)) +_2 \Psi(v_1, v_2, 0).$$

More detailed correspondence between $\widetilde{\mu}$ and μ is as follows. Let e_i, f_j and l_k be the natural bases of R^{n_1}, R^{n_2} and R^{n_0} and e^i, f^j be the dual bases. If we denote $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}a_1$, $\overline{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v}a_2$ and $\overline{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w}a_0$, Equation (17) is actually:

$$\widetilde{\mu} = \Sigma \mu_{ij}^k e^i \otimes f^j \otimes l_k \Rightarrow \mu = \Sigma \mu_{ij}^k \overline{u}^i \otimes \overline{v}^j \otimes \overline{w}_k,$$

where $(\overline{u}^1, \cdots, \overline{u}^{n_1})$ is the dual basis of $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = (\overline{u}_1, \cdots, \overline{u}_{n_1})$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\mathbb{E} = (E; E_1, E_2)_M$ be a DVB with fiber dimension $[\boldsymbol{n}]$ and $\mathcal{F}(E_i)$ be the frame bundle of $E_i \to M, i = 1, 2$.*

(1) The quadruple $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{E}) := (\mathcal{F}(E); \mathcal{F}(E_1), \mathcal{F}(E_2); M)$ is an $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]})$-DPB, which is called the frame bundle *of $\mathbb{E}$.*

(2) The associated bundle $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{E}) \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]})} \mathbb{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]}$ is naturally isomorphic to the DVB $\mathbb{E}$.

Remark 4.8. By the above theorem, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{V}) = (\mathcal{F}(V); \mathcal{F}(V_1), \mathcal{F}(V_2); \mathrm{pt})$ turns out to be a DPB over a point if $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{V}$ is a DVS. Similar to the case of a vector space, the frame bundle of a DVS is isomorphic to its structure group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]})$ as DPBs, but there is no canonical isomorphism between them.

Proof of Theorem 4.7. Note that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]})$ is the following DLG:

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]}) : 1 \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{R}^{n_0}) \ltimes T \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{R}^{[\boldsymbol{n}]}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{R}^{n_1}) \times \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{R}^{n_2}) \to 1,$$

where $T = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{R}^{n_1} \otimes \mathrm{R}^{n_2}, \mathrm{R}^{n_0})$. Let $K_1 = \varphi^{-1}(\{e\} \times \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{R}^{n_2}))$ and $K_2 = \varphi^{-1}(\mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{R}^{n_1}) \times \{e\})$.

For (1), if $\pi : \mathcal{F}(E) \to M$ is a principal bundle , where π is the natural projection, we will have $\mathcal{F}(E)/K_i \cong \mathcal{F}(E_i)$. Hence $\mathcal{F}(E) \to \mathcal{F}(E_i)$ is a principal K_i-bundle. To show $\pi : \mathcal{F}(E) \to M$ is

a principal $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{R}^{[n]})$-bundle, we need the local trivialization, which is constructed as follows: Fix a decomposition Ψ_0. For a small enough open subset $U \subset M$, take a local section:

$$s : M \to \mathcal{F}(E_1) \times_M \mathcal{F}(E_2) \times_M \mathcal{F}(E_0),$$

thus we know $(s(m); \Psi_{0,m}) \in \mathcal{F}(E_m)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U) &\to U \times \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{R}^{[n]}) \\ \Omega &\mapsto (\pi(\Omega), a), \text{ where } a \text{ is uniquely defined by } \Omega = (s(\pi(\Omega)); \Psi_{0,m})a,\end{aligned}$$

which is well-defined and 1-1. Then we need to show that α is $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{R}^{[n]})$-equivariant. Let $\pi(\Omega) = m$ and suppose $\alpha(\Omega b) = (m, a')$. Then by definition, $\Omega b = (s(m); \Psi_{0,m})a'$, thus $\Omega = (s(m); \Psi_{0,m})a'b^{-1}$, which gives $a'b^{-1} = a$. Hence $\alpha(\Omega b) = (m, a') = (m, ab) = \alpha(\Omega)b$.

To see (2), we define:

$$f : \mathcal{F}(E) \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{R}^{[n]})} \mathrm{R}^{[n]} \to E : [\Omega, \xi] \mapsto \Omega\xi,$$

where $\Omega\xi := \Psi(\mathbf{u}x, \mathbf{v}y, \mathbf{w}z) \in E$ is defined in (16). To show that f is well-defined, we need to prove

$$\Omega\xi = (\Omega a) \cdot (a^{-1}\xi), \qquad \forall a = (a_1, a_2, a_0, \widetilde{\mu}) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{R}^{[n]}). \tag{18}$$

In fact, first note that $a^{-1} = (a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}, a_0^{-1}, -a_0^{-1} \circ \widetilde{\mu} \circ (a_1^{-1} \times a_2^{-1}))$. Then we get

$$a^{-1}\xi = a^{-1}(x, y, z) = (a_1^{-1}x, a_2^{-1}y, a_0^{-1}z - a_0^{-1}\widetilde{\mu}(a_1^{-1}x, a_2^{-1}y)).$$

On the other hand, since $\Omega a = (\mathbf{u}a_1, \mathbf{v}a_2, \mathbf{w}a_0; \Psi_\mu)$, by Equation (16), it follows that

$$(\Omega a) \cdot (a^{-1}\xi) = \Psi_\mu(\mathbf{u}x, \mathbf{v}y, \mathbf{w}z - \mathbf{w}\tilde{\mu}(a_1^{-1}x, a_2^{-1}y)).$$

By Equation (15), we know

$$\Psi_\mu^{-1} \circ \Psi = (\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}, -\mu),$$

which yields Equation (18). It is routine to check that f is 1-1 and hence induces an isomorphism of vector bundles. □

Our next concern is to look at the linear sections and core sections of $P \times_G V$ on $P_2 \times_{G_2} V_2$ in the DVB $\mathbb{P} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{V}$. For a DVB $\mathbb{E} = (E; E_1, E_2; M)$, first let us recall the definition of these two special types of sections of the vector bundle $E_{II} : E \to E_2$:

Definition 4.9. [5] *A section $s \in \Gamma(E_{II})$ is* linear *if it is a bundle morphism from E_2 to E_I. The space of linear sections is denoted as $\Gamma_\ell(E_{II})$. A section $s \in \Gamma(E_{II})$ is called a* core section *if it is the image of the following embedding:*

$$t \in \Gamma(E_0) \hookrightarrow \bar{t} := t \circ \delta_2 +_1 0_{II} \in \Gamma(E_{II}),$$

where $\delta_2 : E_2 \to M$ is the projection and $0_{II} : E_2 \to E$ is the zero section. Denote by $\Gamma_C(E_{II})$ the space of core sections.

The following proposition characterizes the general sections of $P \times_G V$ on $P_2 \times_{G_2} V_2$:

Proposition 4.10. *The section space $\Gamma(P \times_G V, P_2 \times_{G_2} V_2)$ is isomorphic to the function space:*

$$C(P \times V_2, V) = \{f : P \times V_2 \to V \,|\, \sigma_2 f(p, v_2) = v_2,\ f(pg, \varphi_2(g)^{-1}v_2) = g^{-1}f(p, v_2)\},$$

where $p \in P$, $v_2 \in V_2$ and $g \in G$. Moreover, one has

$$\Gamma(P \times_G V_0) \cong C(P, V_0) := \{f : P \to V_0 \,|\, f(pg) = g^{-1}f(p)\}.$$

Proof. For any $f \in C(P \times V_2, V)$, define a map s_f by

$$s_f : P_2 \times_{G_2} V_2 \to P \times_G V : [p_2, v_2] \mapsto [p, f(p, v_2)], \quad \forall p \in P, \text{ s.t. } \pi_2(p) = p_2.$$

The map s_f is well-defined since it does not depend on the choice of p. Actually, for $p' = pk_2$, where $k_2 \in K_2$, by the g-invariance of f, we get

$$f(pk_2, v_2) = k_2^{-1}f(p, v_2) \Longrightarrow [pk_2, f(pk_2, v_2)] = [p, f(p, v_2)].$$

Moreover, s_f is a section as $\sigma_2 f(p, v_2) = v_2$. On the other hand, given a section $s \in \Gamma(P \times_G V, P_2 \times_{G_2} V_2)$, define a function $f_s : P \times V_2 \to V$ by the following relation:

$$[p, f_s(p, v_2)] = s([\pi_2(p), v_2]).$$

It is simple to see that $\sigma_2 f_s(p, v_2) = v_2$. Moreover, by definition,

$$[pg, f_s(pg, \varphi_2^{-1}(g)v_2)] = s([\pi_2(p)\varphi_2(g), \varphi_2^{-1}(g)v_2]) = s([\pi_2(p), v_2]) = [p, f_s(p, v_2)],$$

which implies that $f_s(pg, \varphi_2^{-1}(g)v_2) = g^{-1} f_s(p, v_2)$. Thus we have $f_s \in C(P \times V_2, V)$.

We see right now that the previous two processes are invertible to each other. This ends the proof. □

Now it is clear that the space $\Gamma_\ell(P \times_G V, P_2 \times_{G_2} V_2)$ of *linear* sections is consist of functions $f : P \times V_2 \to V$ such that $f_p : V_2 \to V \in \Gamma_\ell(V, V_2), \forall p \in P$. And the space $\Gamma_\ell(V, V_2)$ fits into the following exact sequence of vector spaces:

$$0 \to V_2^* \otimes V_0 \to \Gamma_\ell(V, V_2) \to V_1 \to 0.$$

As the sections of $P \times_G V_0$ can be embedded into $\Gamma(P \times_G V, P_2 \times_{G_2} V_2)$ by

$$c \in C(P, V_0) \mapsto \bar{c} \in C(P \times V_2, V) : (p, v_2) \mapsto c(p) +_1 0_{II}(v_2), \tag{19}$$

the space $\Gamma_C(P \times_G V, P_2 \times_{G_2} V_2)$ of *core* sections is the image of (19).

At the end of this section, we explain the duality of a DVB from the viewpoint of associated bundles. First let us recall what is the *dual* of a double vector bundle (see [7, 13] for more details): Dualizing either structure on E leads to a double vector bundle:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & E_2 \\ \downarrow & E_0 \searrow & \downarrow \\ E_1 & \longrightarrow & M \end{array} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E_I^* & \longrightarrow & E_0^* \\ \downarrow & E_2^* \searrow & \downarrow \\ E_1 & \longrightarrow & M \end{array},$$

where $E_I^* \to E_1$ is the dual vector bundle of E_I. The duality interchanges the positions of E_2 and E_0, which is natural from Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 3.5: The dual of a bilinear map with respect to one component exchanges the positions of the other two spaces.

Remember that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V}_I^*)$ are automorphism groups of a DVS $\mathbb{V} = (V; V_1, V_2)_{V_0}$ and its dual $\mathbb{V}_I^* = (V_I^*; V_1, V_0^*)_{V_2^*}$ respectively as given in (7). For an $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})$-DPB $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2, M)$ and its dual $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V}_I^*)$-DPB $\mathbb{P}_1^* = (P; P_1, Q_2; M)$ as in Corollary 3.6, we get two associated DVBs by Theorem 4.1:

$$\mathbb{P} \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})} \mathbb{V} : \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(V)} V & \longrightarrow & P_2 \times_{\mathrm{GL}(V_2)} V_2 \\ \downarrow \Pi_1 & & \downarrow \\ P_1 \times_{\mathrm{GL}(V_1)} V_1 & \longrightarrow & M \end{array},$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_I^* \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V}_I^*)} \mathbb{V}_I^* : \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*)} V_I^* & \longrightarrow & Q_2 \times_{\mathrm{GL}^*(V_0)} V_0^* \\ \downarrow \Pi_1^* & & \downarrow \\ P_1 \times_{\mathrm{GL}(V_1)} V_1 & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}.$$

Proposition 4.11. *The two associated DVBs $\mathbb{P} \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V})} \mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{P}_I^* \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{V}_I^*)} \mathbb{V}_I^*$ are dual to each other with respect to $P_1 \times_{\mathrm{GL}(V_1)} V_1$.*

Proof. First we show that $P \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(V)} V$ and $P \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*)} V_I^*$ are dual to each other as vector bundles over $P_1 \times_{\mathrm{GL}(V_1)} V_1$. Take $[p, v] \in P \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(V)} V$ and $[q, \eta] \in P \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(V_I^*)} V_I^*$ such that $\Pi_1([p, v]) = \Pi_1^*([q, \eta])$. Then there exists $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ such that $p = qg$. Thus the pairing can be defined by $\langle [p, v], [q, \eta] \rangle = \langle gv, \eta \rangle$. It is routine to check that the pairing is well-defined and non-degenerate. Then we check the core bundle $P \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(V)} V_0$ and $Q_2 \times_{\mathrm{GL}^*(V_0)} V_0^*$ are dual to each other as vector bundles over M. Take $[p, v] \in P \times_{\mathrm{Aut}(V)} V_0$ and $[[q], \eta] \in Q_2 \times_{\mathrm{GL}^*(V_0)} V_0^*$ such that $\pi(p) = \pi(q)$, thus there exists $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(V)$

such that $p = qg$. Then the pairing can be defined by $\langle [p, v], [[q], \xi] \rangle = \langle gv, \xi \rangle$, which is also well-defined and non-degenerate. □

5. Connections and Gauge Transformations

We first recall the definition of connections in a principal bundle. Let P be a principal G-bundle over M and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the vertical distribution on P spanned by the fundamental vector fields.That is

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathrm{Span}\{\hat{A} \,|\, \forall A \in \mathfrak{g}\} \subset TP, \quad \hat{A}_p = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} pe^{tA}, \forall p \in P.$$

A *connection* H in P is a G-invariant distribution on P such that $TP = H \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Here we propose a notion of connections in a DPB $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & P_2 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_2} \\ P_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} & M \end{array}.$$

Set $\pi = \lambda_1 \circ \pi_1 = \lambda_2 \circ \pi_2 : P \to M$. Denote by $\pi^*(TM)$ the pullback bundle of TM along π.

Definition 5.1. *Let $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$ be a DPB. A* connection *in $\mathbb{P}$ is a pair of connections (H_1, H_2) with H_i in $P(K_i, P_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that*

1) *the intersection $H_1 \cap H_2$ is a K_i-invariant subbundle in TP (i=1,2);*
2) *the projection $H_1 \cap H_2 \to \pi^*(TM)$ is a bundle isomorphism. Namely, for any $p \in P$, the map $\pi_{*,p} : (H_1 \cap H_2)_p \to T_{\pi(p)}M$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces.*

A connection (H_1, H_2) in $\mathbb{P}$ is called flat if H_i is a flat *connection in $P(K_i, P_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Example 5.2. Let $\mathbb{G} = (G; G_1, G_2)$ be a double Lie group, seen as a DPB. Let H_1 be a connection in $G(K_1, G_1)$ and H_2 a connection in $G(K_2, G_2)$. Then (H_1, H_2) is a connection in $\mathbb{G}$ iff $H_1 \cap H_2 = \{0\}$.

Note that if (H_1, H_2) is a connection in a DPB $\mathbb{P}$, then we have $\dim(H_1 + H_2) = \dim(P_1) + \dim(P_2) - \dim(M)$. So the distribution $H_1 + H_2$ becomes a connection in the core $P(G_0, P_1 \times_M P_2)$ iff it is transversal to the vertical distribution $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0}$. The following theorem gives the motivation for the definition of connections in a DPB.

Theorem 5.3. *Let (H_1, H_2) be a connection in a DPB $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$. Then*

(1) *$L_i = \pi_{i*}(H_1 \cap H_2)$ gives a connection in P_i for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, the connections L_1 and L_2 are flat if (H_1, H_2) is flat.*
(2) *the distribution $H_1 + H_2$ is a connection in the core $P(G_0, P_1 \times_M P_2)$ if and only if $(H_1 + H_2) \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. For any $p_1 \in P_1$, set $L_{1,p_1} := \pi_{1*,p}(H_1 \cap H_2)_p$ with p such that $\pi_1(p) = p_1$. Define L_2 similarly. We claim L_1, L_2 are connections in P_1 and P_2 iff (H_1, H_2) is a connection in the DPB $\mathbb{P}$. First, we show L_{1,p_1} is well-defined iff $H_1 \cap H_2$ is K_1-invariant. Indeed, for $p' \in P$ such that $\pi_1(p') = p_1$, we have $p' = pk_1$ for some $k_1 \in K_1$. Then following from $\pi_1 = \pi_1 \circ R_{k_1}$, we have L_{1,p_1} being well-defined means

$$\pi_{1*,p}(H_1 \cap H_2)_p = \pi_{1*,pk_1} R_{k_1*}(H_1 \cap H_2)_p = \pi_{1*,pk_1}(H_1 \cap H_2)_{pk_1},$$

which implies exactly

$$R_{k_1*}(H_1 \cap H_2)_p = (H_1 \cap H_2)_{pk_1}.$$

This is due to the fact that $R_{k_1*}(H_1 \cap H_2)_p, (H_1 \cap H_2)_{pk_1} \subset H_{1,pk_1}$ and $\pi_{1*,pk_1} : H_{1,pk_1} \to T_{p_1}P_1$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, we can choose p such that L_{1,p_1} depends on p_1 smoothly. Similarly, L_{2,p_2} is well-defined iff $H_1 \cap H_2$ is K_2-invariant.

We now turn to check L_{1,p_1} is G_1-invariant, i.e. $R_{g_1*}L_{1,p_1} = L_{1,p_1 g_1}$. Choosing $k_2 \in K_2$ such that $\phi_1(k_2) = g_1$, we have $\pi_1(pk_2) = p_1 g_1$. Since $R_{g_1} \circ \pi_1 = \pi_1 \circ R_{k_2}$, we have

$$R_{g_1*}L_{1,p_1} = R_{g_1*}\pi_{1*,p}(H_1 \cap H_2)_p = \pi_{1*,pk_2} R_{k_2*}(H_1 \cap H_2)_p.$$

Since $H_1 \cap H_2$ is K_2-invariant, we obtain $R_{g_1 *} L_{1,p_1} = L_{1,p_1 g_1}$. It remains to prove

$$T_{p_1} P_1 = L_{1,p_1} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_1}_{,p_1}.$$

As $\lambda_{1*,p_1}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_1}_{,p_1}) = 0$, this holds iff $\lambda_{1*,p_1} : L_{1,p_1} \to T_{\pi_1(p_1)} M$ is an isomorphism. This is further equivalent to the fact that $\pi_{*,p} : (H_1 \cap H_2)_p \to T_{\pi(p)} M$ is an isomorphism since $\pi_{1*,p} : (H_1 \cap H_2)_p \to L_{1,p_1}$ is an isomorphism. This ends the proof. □

In particular, when $\mathbb{P}$ is a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB, given a connection in $\mathbb{P}$, there exists a natural connection in the principal G-bundle $P(G, M)$. Conversely, under some assumptions, we can get a connection in $\mathbb{P}$ from a connection in $P(G, M)$. Moreover, there is also a connection in the core $P(G_0, P_1 \times_M P_2)$.

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose (H_1, H_2) is a connection in a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB $\mathbb{P}$. Then the distribution $H_1 \cap H_2$ is a connection in the principal G-bundle $P(G, M)$, which is flat if (H_1, H_2) is flat.*

Proof. Since $H_1 \cap H_2$ is K_1 and K_2-invariant, it is $G = K_1 K_2$-invariant. Note that $\pi_{*,p} : (H_1 \cap H_2)_p \to T_{\pi(p)} M$ is an isomorphism and $\pi_{*,p}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0$. We have $(H_1 \cap H_2)_p \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_p = 0$. Comparing the dimensions, we get

$$(H_1 \cap H_2)_p \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_p = T_p P.$$

So $H_1 \cap H_2$ is a connection in the principal G-bundle $P(G, M)$. □

Let $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{G}) = (\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_2)_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ be the Lie algebra of the DLG $\mathbb{G}$. We have an exact sequence of Lie algebras:

$$0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0 \to \mathfrak{g} \rightleftarrows \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \to 0$$

Given a splitting $s : \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \to \mathfrak{g}$, the vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposed as $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus s(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$.

Proposition 5.5. *Let H be a connection in the principal G-bundle $P(G, M)$. Extend it to two distributions*

$$H_i := H \oplus \widehat{s(\mathfrak{g}_i)} \subset TP, \qquad i = 1, 2.$$

Obviously, we have $H = H_1 \cap H_2$. Then (H_1, H_2) gives a connection in the $\mathbb{G}$-DPB $\mathbb{P}$ iff

$$[\mathfrak{g}_0, s(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)] = 0, \qquad [s(\mathfrak{g}_1), s(\mathfrak{g}_2)] = 0.$$

Moreover, the extension $H \oplus \widehat{s(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)} \subset TP$ is a connection in the core $P(G_0, P_1 \times_M P_2)$ iff

$$[\mathfrak{g}_0, s(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)] = 0.$$

Proof. To see that H_1 is a connection in $P(K_1, P_1)$, first note that $\mathfrak{k}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus s(\mathfrak{g}_2)$. Following from $TP = H \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have $TP = H_1 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_1}$. Next, H_1 being K_1-invariant requires that $[\mathfrak{k}_1, s(\mathfrak{g}_1)] \subset s(\mathfrak{g}_1)$. Since K_1, K_2 are normal groups of G, we have $[\mathfrak{k}_1, \mathfrak{k}_2] \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$. Also, observe that $s(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subset \mathfrak{k}_2$ and $s(\mathfrak{g}_1) \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 = \{0\}$. The requirement $[\mathfrak{k}_1, s(\mathfrak{g}_1)] \subset s(\mathfrak{g}_1)$ becomes $[\mathfrak{k}_1, s(\mathfrak{g}_1)] = 0$, which is equivalent to the conditions $[\mathfrak{g}_0, s(\mathfrak{g}_1)] = 0$ and $[s(\mathfrak{g}_2), s(\mathfrak{g}_1)] = 0$. The conditions for H_2 being a connection in $P(K_2, P_2)$ is similar to get.

By the fact that $TP = H \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, we have $TP = (H \oplus \widehat{s(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)}) \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0}$. Then, $H \oplus \widehat{s(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)}$ is G_0-invariant iff $\widehat{s(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)}$ is G_0-invariant, iff $[\mathfrak{g}_0, s(\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)] = 0$. □

Example 5.6. Suppose that the total space P of a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$ is equipped with a G-invariant Riemannian metric. Define a distribution $H_i := \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_i}^{\perp}$ $(\mathfrak{k}_i = \mathrm{Lie}(K_i))$, that is, $TP = H_i \oplus \mathfrak{k}_i$. Then H_i is naturally a connection in $P(K_i, P_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Consider the intersection

$$H_1 \cap H_2 = \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_1}^{\perp} \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_2}^{\perp} = (\widehat{\mathfrak{k}_1} + \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_2})^{\perp} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{\perp}.$$

We find it is a connection in the principal G-bundle $P(G, M)$ and (H_1, H_2) is a connection in the DPB $\mathbb{P}$. We also get a connection $\pi_{i,*}(H_1 \cap H_2)$ in the principal G_i-bundle $P_i (i = 1, 2)$. Moreover, the summation

$$H_1 + H_2 = \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_1}^{\perp} + \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_2}^{\perp} = (\widehat{\mathfrak{k}_1} \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_2})^{\perp} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0}^{\perp}$$

is a connection in the core $P(\widehat{G}_0, P_1 \times_M P_2)$.

In Theorem 5.3, we push forward a pair of connections in $P(K_i, P_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ to obtain connections in P_1 and P_2. Now we move to consider the push-forward of one connection in $P(K_2, P_2)$ to achieve a connection in P_1.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$ be a DPB. The push-forward of a connection H_2 in $P(K_2, P_2)$ by π_1 gives a connection in P_1 if and only if H_2 satisfies*

$$R_{k_1*}H_2 + \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_1} \subset H_2 \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_0}, \qquad \forall k_1 \in K_1.$$

Proof. For any $p_1 \in P_1$, define $L_{1,p_1} = \pi_{1*,p}H_{2,p}$ with p such that $\pi_1(p) = p_1$. We check L_1 defines a connection in P_1 iff H_2 satisfies the above condition. First, note that $\ker \pi_{1*,p} = \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_{1,p_1}}$. It is easily seen that $L_{1,p}$ does not depend on the choice of p if and only if H_2 satisfies

$$R_{k_1*}H_{2,p} \subset H_{2,pk_1} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_{1,pk_1}}.$$

Then for $g_1 \in G_1$, choose $k_2 \in K_2$ such that $\phi_1(k_2) = g_1$. By the fact that $\pi_1(pk_2) = \pi_1(p)g_1$, we find

$$R_{g_1*}L_{1,p_1} = R_{g_1*}\pi_{1*,p}H_{2,p} = \pi_{1*,pk_2}R_{k_2*}H_{2,p} = \pi_{1*,pk_2}H_{2,pk_2} = L_{1,p_1g_1}.$$

Namely, L_1 is G_1-invariant. Since π_1 is surjective and $\pi_{1*}(\widehat{\mathfrak{k}_2}) = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_1}$, we have

$$L_{1,p_1} + \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{1,p_1}} = T_{p_1}P_1.$$

Then we claim that $L_{1,p_1} \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{1,p_1}} = \{0\}$ if and only if $\widehat{\mathfrak{k}_{1,p}} \subset H_{2,p} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{0,p}}$. Actually, if the latter holds, assuming $\pi_{1*}(X) = \pi_{1*}(\hat{A})$ for $X \in H_{2,p}$ and $A \in \mathfrak{k}_2$, there exists $B \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ such that $X - \hat{A} = \hat{B} = B_2 + \widehat{B_0}$, where $B_2 \in H_{2,p}$ and $B_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. The condition $H_2 \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_2} = \{0\}$ implies that $X - B_2 = \hat{A} + \widehat{B_0} = 0$, so we get $A \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Namely, $\pi_{1*}(X) = \pi_{1*}(\hat{A}) = 0$. For the 'only if' direction, suppose $A \in \mathfrak{k}_1$ such that $\hat{A}_p = Y + \widehat{C} \in H_{2,p} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{k}_{2,p}}$ and $\phi_1(C) \neq 0$. Then we have $\pi_{1*,p}(Y) = -\widehat{\phi_1(C)} \neq 0$, which implies $L_{1,p_1} \cap \widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{1,p_1}} \neq \{0\}$, a contradiction. This ends the proof. □

An *automorphism* of a principal bundle $P(G, M)$ is a diffeomorphism $f : P \to P$ such that $f(pg) = f(p)g$. Note that f induces a well-defined diffeomorphism $\bar{f} : M \to M$ given by $\bar{f}(\pi(p)) = \pi(f(p))$. An automorphism f of a principal bundle is called a *gauge transformation* if $\bar{f} = \mathrm{id}_M$. Set $\mathrm{Aut}(P)$ and $\mathrm{GA}(P)$ the groups of automorphisms and gauge transformations.

Definition 5.8. *An* automorphism *of a DPB $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2; M)$ is a diffeomorphism $f : P \to P$ such that $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(P(K_1, P_1)) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(P(K_2, P_2))$. An automorphism of a DPB is called a* gauge transformation *if $\pi(p) = \pi(f(p))$.*

Denote the sets of automorphisms and gauge transformations also by $\mathrm{Aut}(P)$ and $\mathrm{GA}(P)$ respectively.

Automorphisms and gauge transformations of a $\mathbb{G}$-DPB $\mathbb{P}$ are exactly transformations and gauge transformations of the principal G-bundle $P(G, M)$.

Lemma 5.9. *If $f \in \mathrm{GA}(P)$, then the induced map $f_1 : P_1 \to P_1$ defined by $f_1(p_1) = \pi_1(f(p))$ for any $p \in P$ such that $\pi_1(p) = p_1$ is a gauge transformation of P_1. Likewise, we can get a gauge transformation of P_2.*

Proof. We need to check $f_1(p_1g_1) = f_1(p_1)g_1$ and $\lambda_1(p_1) = \lambda_1(f_1(p_1))$. Choosing an element $k_2 \in K_2$ such that $\phi_1(k_2) = g_1$, we have

$$f_1(p_1g_1) = \pi_1(f(pk_2)) = \pi_1(f(p)k_2) = \pi_1(f(p))\phi_1(k_2) = f_1(p_1)g_1.$$

Moreover, we find

$$\lambda_1(f_1(p_1)) = \lambda_1(\pi_1(f(p))) = \pi(f(p)) = \pi(p) = \lambda_1(p_1).$$

Thus, we get f_1 is a gauge transformation of P_1. □

Proposition 5.10. *We have the infinite dimensional "double Lie groups",* $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P})$ *and* $\mathrm{GA}(\mathbb{P})$*, as follows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Aut}(P) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}(P_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Aut}(P_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Diff}(M) \end{array}, \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GA}(P) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \mathrm{GA}(P_2) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_1} & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{GA}(P_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{id}_M \end{array}.$$

The "double Lie algebra" of the automorphism "double Lie group" of a DPB $\mathbb{P}$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X}(P)^{K_1} \cap \mathfrak{X}(P)^{K_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}(P_2)^{G_2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{X}(P_1)^{G_1} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}(M) \end{array}.$$

Here $\mathfrak{X}(P)^{K_1}$ denotes the space of K_1-invariant vector fields on P, which is the section of the gauge algebroid TP/K_1 of the principal K_1-bundle $P(K_1, P_1)$. The space $\mathfrak{X}(P_1)^{G_1}$, consisting of G_1-invariant vector field on P_1, is in fact the section of the gauge algebroid TP_1/G_1 of P_1. Actually, the space $\mathfrak{X}(P)^{K_1} \cap \mathfrak{X}(P)^{K_2}$ is the pre-image of $\mathfrak{X}(P_1)^{G_1} \times \mathfrak{X}(P_2)^{G_2}$ under the map $(\pi_{1*}, \pi_{2*}) : TP \to TP_1 \times TP_2$.

In general, for a DPB $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2, M)$, given a vector field on M, by two steps of horizontal lifts, we get a K_1-invariant vector field and a K_2-invariant vector field on P. But they may not coincide. With the existence of a connection in $\mathbb{P}$, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.11. *The map* $\pi_* : \mathfrak{X}(P)^{K_1} \cap \mathfrak{X}(P)^{K_2} \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ *is surjective if there is a connection* (H_1, H_2) *in a DPB* $\mathbb{P} = (P; P_1, P_2, M)$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of $H_1 \cap H_2 \cong \pi^*(TM)$ and $\Gamma(H_1 \cap H_2) \subset \mathfrak{X}(P)^{K_1} \cap \mathfrak{X}(P)^{K_2}$. □

Proposition 5.12. *Let* $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P})$ *be a gauge transformation of a DPB* $\mathbb{P}$ *and* (H_1, H_2) *a connection in* $\mathbb{P}$*. Then* (f_*H_1, f_*H_2) *is also a connection in* $\mathbb{P}$*.*

Proof. First, since $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(P(K_1, P_1)) \cap \mathrm{Aut}(P(K_2, P_2))$, the distributions f_*H_1 and f_*H_2 are connections in $P(K_1, P_1)$ and $P(K_2, P_2)$ respectively. Then, since $f(pk_1) = f(p)k_1$ and $f(pk_2) = f(p)k_2$, we get $f_*H_1 \cap f_*H_2 = f_*(H_1 \cap H_2)$ is K_1 and K_2-invariant on the condition that $H_1 \cap H_2$ is K_1 and K_2-invariant. At last, consider the map

$$\pi^f_{*,p} := (f_*H_1 \cap f_*H_2)_p = f_{*,p}(H_1 \cap H_2)_{f^{-1}(p)} \to T_{\pi(p)}M.$$

It is clear that $\pi^f_{*,p} = \pi_{*,f^{-1}(p)} \circ f^{-1}_{*,p}$, where

$$\pi_{*,f^{-1}(p)} : (H_1 \cap H_2)_{f^{-1}(p)} \to T_{\pi(f^{-1}(p))}M = T_{\pi(p)}M$$

is an isomorphism. So $\pi^f_{*,p}$ is an isomorphism. Thus the pair (f_*H_1, f_*H_2) is a connection in $\mathbb{P}$. □

REFERENCES

[1] Bursztyn H., Cabrera A. and del Hoyo M., *Vector bundles over Lie groupoids and algebroids*, Adv. Math. 290 (2016), 163-207.
[2] Chen, Z., Liu, Z.J. and Sheng, Y.H., *On double vector bundles*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 30 (2014), no. 10, 1655-1673.
[3] Felix, I., Oprea J.F. and Tanré D., *Algebraic models in geometry*, Oxford University Press, 2008.
[4] Grabowski J., Rotkiewicz, M. and Urbański, P., *Double affine bundles*, J. Geom. Phys., 60 (2010), 581-598.
[5] Gracia-Saz, A. and Mehta, R.A., *Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids*, Adv. Math. 223 (2010) 1236.
[6] Jotz Lean, M., *N-manifolds of degree 2 and metric double vector bundles*, arXiv: 1504.00880v1.
[7] Konieczna, K. and Urbański, P., *Double vector bundles and duality*, Arch. Math. (Bruno) 35 (1999) 59.
[8] Laurent-Gengoux, C., Stiénon, M. and Xu, P., *Non-abelian differentiable gerbes*, Adv. Math. 220 (2009) 1357-1427.
[9] Lu, J.H. and Weinstein, A., *Symplectiques doubles des groupes de Lie-Poisson*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 309 (1989), 951-954.
[10] Mackenzie, K., *Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry. I*, Adv. Math. 94 (2) (1992) 180-239.
[11] Mackenzie, K., *Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry. II*, Adv. Math. 154 (1) (2000) 46-75.
[12] Mackenzie, K., *On symplectic double groupoids and the duality of Poisson groupoids*, Internat. J. Math. 10 (1999), 435-456.
[13] Mackenzie, K., *Duality and triple structures*, in: The Breadth of Symplectic and Poisson Geometry, in: Progress in Mathematics, vol. 232, Birkhäuser, 2005, 455-481.
[14] Marsden, J. and Ratiu, T., *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*, Springer, Berlin (1995).
[15] Murray, M., *Bundle gerbes*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 54 (1996), 403-416.
[16] Roytenberg, D., *On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids*, in: T. Voronov (Ed.), Quantization, Poisson Brackets and Beyond, in: Contemp. Math., vol. 315, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 169-185.
[17] Voronov, T., *Q-manifolds and Mackenzie theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. 315 (2012), 279-310.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, BONN

E-mail address: hllang@pku.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING

E-mail address: yanpeng.li@pku.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING

E-mail address: liuzj@pku.edu.cn